```
1
 1
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                      EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
 2
                          ALEXANDRIA DIVISION
 3
                                     : Criminal Action No.:
 4
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
                                     : 1:23-cr-156
 5
            V.
 6
    DANIEL MARC LOFARO,
                                   : October 11, 2023
 7
                       Defendant.
 8
 9
                    TRANSCRIPT OF DETENTION HEARING
                BEFORE THE HONORABLE LINDSEY R. VAALA,
            UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10
11
                        APPEARANCES
12
     FOR THE GOVERNMENT: LAUREN HALPER, AUSA
                           United States Attorney's Office
13
                           2100 Jamieson Avenue
                           Alexandria, VA 22314
14
                           FRANK SALVATO, ESQ.
     FOR THE DEFENDANT:
                           1203 Duke Street
15
                           Alexandria, VA 22314
16
                           MICHAEL SPRANO, ESQ.
                           The Sprano Law Firm
                           10603 Judicial Drive
17
                           Fairfax, VA 22030
18
19
    (Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording transcript
20
    produced by computerized transcription.)
21
22
23
    OFFICIAL U.S. COURT REPORTER:
                                      MS. TONIA M. HARRIS, RPR
                                      United States District Court
2.4
                                      401 Courthouse Square
                                      Tenth Floor
25
                                      Alexandria, VA 22314
                              ---Tonia M. Harris OCR-USDC/EDVA 703-646-1438-
```

-United States v. Lofaro-2 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (Court proceedings commenced at 2:10 p.m.) 3 THE COURTROOM CLERK: The United States of America 4 versus Daniel Mark Lofaro. Case No. 23-cr-156. 5 6 MS. HALPER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Lauren 7 Halper on behalf of the United States. THE COURT: Good afternoon, Ms. Halper. 8 9 MR. SALVATO: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 10 Salvato and Michael Sprano for Mr. Lofaro, who is present. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon. Good afternoon, 12 Mr. Lofaro. All right. I have this down for a detention 13 14 hearing, first of all. I understand Judge Fitzpatrick on 15 Friday issued a temporary release order so that Mr. Lofaro 16 could attend the funeral of a family member and set the 17 detention hearing today. 18 MR. SALVATO: That's correct, Your Honor. 19 could proffer a few facts that came out in front of Judge 20 Fitzpatrick and give a little more depth to what Judge 21 Fitzpatrick did, I think that would be helpful. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. SALVATO: I would have a proffer as to a few 24 facts. 25 THE COURT: Okay.

EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

```
-United States v. Lofaro-
                                                                   3
 1
              MR. SALVATO: In the case and kind of what happened
 2
    in front of Judge Fitzpatrick.
 3
              THE COURT: Okay. And I'm sure Ms. Halper will also
 4
    have a proffer of her own.
 5
              MS. HALPER: Yes, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Okay.
 6
 7
              MR. SALVATO: Thank you, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Sure.
 8
 9
              MR. SALVATO: Your Honor, the end of May 2022, law
    enforcement effectuated a search on Mr. Lofaro's residence.
10
11
    Mr. Lofaro reached out to Mr. Sprano and myself late May,
12
    early June of 2022 to retain us regarding this particular
13
    investigation. I can tell the Court that on June 22, 2022, I
    did reach out to the government through the case agent as well
14
15
    as the prosecutor indicating that we had been retained in the
    case and if there was anything to discuss, reverse proffer or
16
17
    any insight on the case, to please let us know at that time.
18
    After that initial communication between ourselves and law
19
    enforcement, the -- there was a second search effectuated at
20
    Mr. Lofaro's residence. After that second search, obviously,
21
    Lofaro, myself, and Mr. Sprano had been in contact over these
22
    past 15 months or so.
23
              I can tell the Court that on Thursday evening,
24
    October 5th, then spilling into Friday morning, October 6th,
25
    we were informed that an indictment had been returned against
```

-United States v. Lofaro-

Mr. Lofaro. There had really been no communication from the government back to us regarding the situation and the underlying investigation.

Mr. Lofaro has presented to Judge Fitzpatrick his dad had actually passed away a couple days previously. The government indicated on Friday morning that if he wanted to self-surrender he needed to do that by 12 noon. I can tell the Court that Mr. Lofaro was actually on his way to Pennsylvania for his father's funeral at that time. I can also tell the Court, by way of proffer, his family is here, his wife, his mom, and his sister. His mom and his sister both live in Pennsylvania, and they were all present at the funeral.

After some back and forth with Judge Fitzpatrick, and I think the government elicited some proffer facts about some of the chats in this case and whatnot, Judge Fitzpatrick decided to let him out, basically with the strict admonition, that you should hopefully, you know, abide by these temporary conditions of release. I can tell the Court, by the way of proffer, that Mr. Lofaro gave his passport to Mr. Sprano that day. There was also a couple of firearms in the house. They were also given to Mr. Sprano, and he has locked them up in his personal safe.

I can further proffer to the Court that Mr. Lofaro did travel to Pennsylvania with his wife, who is present.

-United States v. Lofaro-5 1 They did attend the services, and he stayed with his mom the entire time. He had his GPS monitor on. There was no issues, 2 according to the pretrial report. Mr. Lofaro was instructed 3 to return, not to his residence, but simply to court today at 4 1:30. He did meet us outside. We talked to him and his 5 family, and that's what brings us here. So it's a little bit 6 7 of a strange procedural background, but that's the proffer, in 8 terms of the investigation, the contact, his retaining 9 counsel, and also him going to his father's funeral. 10 I do, obviously, have an argument based upon the 11 pretrial report, but I think it was important to proffer those 12 facts in terms of kind of procedurally where we got today. THE COURT: Thank you. That is helpful. I knew 13 some of that, but having the extra detail was helpful since 14 15 I'm playing catchup a little bit. 16 All right. Ms. Halper, happy to hear from you on detention. 17 18 MS. HALPER: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. Honor, I would like to start by proffering some of the facts 19 20 in this case. I have handed to both defense counsel a small set of exhibits, which I would also like to pass up to Your 21 22 Honor. First, I'd like to -- through the assistance of your 23 court security officer, if there's no objection. 24 THE COURT: Yes. Thank you. 25 MS. HALPER: Your Honor, I'd first like to sketch

-United States v. Lofaro-

out a little bit of the history of the case, and then I would like to briefly go in depth about some of these exhibits.

I'll also just say, Your Honor, for the record, for clarity, that is correct that the government reached out Thursday of last week to make contact with defense counsel. The government didn't hear back that evening, and so, Friday morning the government reached out via email, let defense counsel know that there had, in fact, been an indictment, and that Mr. Lofaro should self-surrender by noon if he did not want to be arrested on the warrant that was issued along with the indictment. The government, at that point, did not know that Mr. Lofaro's father had passed away and that he was en route to a funeral. So I just want that to be clear.

Your Honor, this case began really in November of 2021. That was the time when the FBI in Ohio was investigating a separate target there in connection with the trafficking of child sexual abuse material. The FBI in Ohio did a search warrant on the home and electronic devices of their subject there and discovered, upon review of that subject's electronic devices, that that person had been engaged in chats via Kik, which is a social media application and messaging application, that also enables users to trade media files. The subject there had been in touch with the user, who through investigation, they learned was, in fact, the defendant, Mr. Lofaro. And that in at least one chat with

Mr. Lofaro, the subject in Ohio had sent Mr. Lofaro child sexual abuse material at his request.

If you look at the packet of exhibits, Your Honor, in front of you, Government's Exhibit 1 is that chat with the subject in Ohio. Your Honor can see that there are several columns here in this exhibit, and I apologize. This is printed very, very small. But the column on the left is the display name of the participant, the message sender is, of course, the sender of the message. All messages sent by Seagull Dupad (ph), which is the display name for Mr. Lofaro on the Kik social media application, all of his messages that he sent are shaded in blue. That is going to be the case for all of the exhibits here in front of Your Honor.

You can see that these Kik chats occurred on October 20th of 2021 into the next day. And if you look at the time stamp for the chat sent by the Ohio subject, 23:27, it shows "You added the subject from a group you were in together, NN Cuties." Law enforcement would tell Your Honor that "NN" stands for, in the child exploitation world, "nearly nude" or "not nude."

And then you can see in response on the user account that belongs to Mr. Lofaro responded, "Love what you just posted. Do you have any a bit more revealing?" The subject responds, "I might." Lofaro responds, "There are media attachments sent." You can see all the way in the right-hand

```
-United States v. Lofaro-
                                                                   8
 1
    column the messages that include a media attachment. Lofaro
 2
    responds, "Oh, very nice. And then after two more attachments
 3
    he says, "Any penetration?"
 4
              And then there is a message sent by the Ohio subject
    at 3:30. That is a media attachment that is bolded in red.
 5
 6
    That is -- this is true for the -- any subsequent exhibit that
    the media attachment's bolded in red are attachments that have
 7
 8
    been reviewed by law enforcement and determined to depict
 9
    child pornography or child sexual abuse material. That file
    in particular, Your Honor, law enforcement would say depicts
10
11
    two prepubescent minors engaged in sexually explicit conduct.
12
              So, Your Honor, that this chat and that Ohio
13
    investigation by the FBI was the jumping off point of the
14
    instant investigation in the case against Mr. Lofaro.
15
              THE COURT: So unless it's bolded in red, the media
    attachments have not been determined to be child pornography?
16
17
              MS. HALPER: Correct.
              THE COURT: Okay.
18
19
              MS. HALPER: Those attachments were reviewed and do
20
    not depict things that qualify under the federal definition of
21
    child pornography.
22
              THE COURT: Okay.
23
              MS. HALPER: I will tell Your Honor that -- so after
24
    that information was obtained by FBI in Ohio, it was -- there
25
    was, obviously, some subsequent law enforcement investigation
```

-United States v. Lofaro-9 1 into the identity of this user through subpoenas. They were 2 able to identify him, the user of this account, as Mr. Lofaro. The IP logs attached to this Kik account show that there were 3 IP log-ins that traced back to Mr. Lofaro's residence to an IP 4 that is registered with his name at his residence in Fairfax 5 County. 6 7 THE COURT: I'm sorry. When did you make that determination? 8 9 MS. HALPER: That was done roughly at the end of 2021, the investigation into the identity of the user. 10 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 MS. HALPER: Then, Your Honor, as defense counsel 13 noted in 2022 -- pulling up the date for you specifically --May 18th of 2022, there was a search warrant at Mr. Lofaro's 14 15 residence in Fairfax County. 16 On that date, FBI and NCIS, who became attached to 17 this case, Your Honor, because of the defendant's employment 18 with the Naval Research Laboratory as a civilian, NCIS was 19 then made part of the case. So law enforcement collectively 20 took 300 electronic devices from Mr. Lofaro's residence, or roughly, I'll say approximately 300 electronic devices. One 21 22 of the electronic devices that was taken that day was an 23 iPhone 12 mini that was taken directly from Mr. Lofaro's 24 person. 25 Much of the evidence in this case, Your Honor, came

10

directly off of that iPhone 12 mini that was taken from Mr.

- 2 | Lofaro. Namely, and I think most relevant to today's
- 3 proceeding, the phone was forensically examined, and it was
- 4 | found to contain a repository of Kik chats in a database
- 5 | associated with the application. As well as media attachments
- 6 that matched the attachments sent and received through the
- 7 | chats in another folder associated with Kik.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

These chats that were -- that were found on the phone were dated approximately February of 2021 to May of 2022. Upon review of those chats, there were numerous instances, more than 15 days -- 15 or 16, roughly, discrete days in that time frame when child sexual abuse material was traded, both distributed and received. And in fact, on more than one of those discrete instances or days, when it was

traded, there were many files traded by Mr. Lofaro,

16 distributed by him, received by him.

There was, for example, one day, in particular, or two days, actually, in particular, in February of 2022, when there were more than — there was 45 files one day and 46 files the next day, child pornography files that were traded back and forth between Mr. Lofaro and other users. Review of those chats, Your Honor, shows that Mr. Lofaro was in many different Kik groups. Kik groups are formed oftentimes to target or create a forum for members who are interested in the same types of content.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

-United States v. Lofaro-

11

Mr. Lofaro was in groups, for example the NN Cuties group. Other groups that were -- had "incest" in the title. If you look, Your Honor, at Exhibit 6, this was one of the Kik chats that was found on Mr. Lofaro's phone. Again, you can see the participant there all the way to the left, and his messages are shaded in blue. You can see at the top that this user was added to this message from a group #IncestWeTrust. And Mr. Lofaro, this is in August 13 of '21, says "S2R." Law enforcement would tell Your Honor that "S2R" is shorthand often used in the child exploitation context to mean send to receive. It's trading lingo. And then Mr. Lofaro says, "Incest and family BTW." The other user responds, "I've got very young incest." And Mr. Lofaro says, "I like that, I have some of that nature." In addition, Your Honor, there were other chats uncovered in the review of the device. And these exhibits, Your Honor, these are excerpts. Many of these chats went on for a substantial period of time. The way that the forensics uncovered these chats, there were private messages sort of

Your Honor, these are excerpts. Many of these chats went on for a substantial period of time. The way that the forensics uncovered these chats, there were private messages sort of embedded, if you will, in larger group chats. So it all appears on an Excel spreadsheet with just a running chat log, and you know, you can see the dates, but there is group chats and private messages mixed in. So these are excerpts from that log, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And again, this is all from the iPhone

```
-United States v. Lofaro-
                                                                 12
 1
    that was seized on -- in May of 2022?
 2
              MS. HALPER: That's correct, Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: Okay.
 4
              MS. HALPER: And then in looking at Exhibit 2, which
    should be the next exhibit in the packet, this, again, is
 5
    another Kik chat. This is from December of 2021. This sort
 6
 7
    of just generally is a discussion between Mr. Lofaro and
    another user about this other user's opportunities of abusing
 8
9
    sexual -- of sexually abusing children in real life in foreign
    countries. In particular, they talk about this other user's
10
    sexual abuse of children, particularly 6- and 7-year olds in
11
12
    Thailand. And you can see in this chat, Your Honor, Mr.
13
    Lofaro says things like, at 8:07, "I heard that in Thailand
    there are places where you can play with a mom and their
14
15
    little one. Have you ever been to anything like that?" The
    user responds, "LOL. You can sneak in touches because they
16
    are innocent and don't know."
17
18
              Going down a little further, Mr. Lofaro says, "You
19
    ever take pics?" Then down -- and then the user says, "Can't
20
    get caught." Mr. Lofaro responds, "Makes sense. Have you
    ever played with their pussy?" The other user responds, "The
21
22
    6- and 7-year olds, you can put your hand between their legs."
23
    Et cetera. You see it in front of you. And then finally, at
24
    the end, Mr. Lofaro says, "Now I want to go to Thailand."
25
              Exhibit 7, Your Honor, which is the next one in your
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

-United States v. Lofaro-

13 packet, again, this is another excerpt from the Kik chats. This excerpt comes from a chat on June of 2021 between Mr. Lofaro and another user. In this chat, Mr. Lofaro and this other user discuss the other user having seen his minor daughter engaged in sexual activity. Mr. Lofaro goes on to talk about, he says at 5:02, "Hypothetically, one of my friends has a daughter that gets-together with me and another family that we are friends with from time to time. One time my one friend's son and my other friend's daughter hit it off and were playing with each other in the pool." He goes on to talk about having hypothetically watched that. And then asks this other user, "You have pics of your daughter?" And they talk about trading, Your Honor, and then you can see that Mr. Lofaro asked for these pics and then indicates that he doesn't trade in this account and wants to turn on another device in order to effectuate that trade.

I'll also tell, Your Honor, that law enforcement's review of these Kik chats also uncovered multiple chats in which Mr. Lofaro talks about having fantasies of sexually abusing a fictional 9-year old daughter. Of course, to the government's knowledge, he doesn't have children, but that is something that's discussed. There is also a number of chats that were uncovered in the examination that talk about Mr. Lofaro's having essentially cleared his contraband. He calls it "purging." That's what Exhibit 3 in this packet

-United States v. Lofaro-14 shows, Your Honor. There are two different sets of chats 1 2 here. There's a set, at the very top, from February 4th where Mr. Lofaro tells another user that he did a purge, "But I'll 3 send you some when I get them back, need to keep things clean 4 just in case." 5 6 And then, you can see below that a set of chats from 7 February 5th of 2022, where he's talking to a couple different 8 users here, and talking about touching, mentioning that he's 9 done this purge. You can see that one of the users at 3:22 10 sends him a file that's been reviewed that depicts child pornography. He responds, "That is hot. So how did you get 11 12 to touch?" And then they go in -- on to talk about this other 13 user's niece who he says, the other user says, that he touches 14 while she sleeps and that she's 12. Mr. Lofaro says, "Good 15 age, and she did not wake up?" Because he talks about 16 touching her when she's asleep. 17 That's -- it's a lengthy chat, Your Honor. I included that because it has these references to purging and 18 19 it has further references to interest in the sexual abuse of 20 children in real life in addition to the trading of this child sexual abuse material. 21

THE COURT: Okay.

22

23

24

25

MS. HALPER: Your Honor, the next exhibit, which is 5, this is from August 18th of 2021. Again, another Kik chat between Mr. Lofaro and another user, wherein he, again, talks

1 about not having anything to trade due to a recent purge.

2 This user had said that he was mainly interested in young and

3 or incest, and Mr. Lofaro responds, "Okay. I removed all of

4 | those the other day." Your Honor, those exhibits that I just

5 | talked about were, again, those were the Kik chats from the

6 iPhone.

Now, when law enforcement reviewed the devices that were obtained pursuant to the search warrant, particularly this iPhone, they came upon videos that were saved down to the iPhone that appeared to have been video -- screen captures, screen recordings of Snapchat videos that appear to depict a minor in a sexual context. When they found that, law enforcement sought a search warrant for Mr. Lofaro's Snapchat account. The Snapchat return included a set of chats with a purported minor. The chats that Snapchat returned are contained -- or part of the chats that Snapchat returned are contained in Government's Exhibit 4, which is the next exhibit in the packet in front of you, Your Honor.

I mentioned these chats in particular because, as I said in front of Judge Fitzpatrick last week, the government is investigating the possibility of an additional charge of production of child pornography. That is based on the chats that are included in Government's Exhibit 4. As you can see, Your Honor, in these chats -- and I'll tell the Court, it's a little confusing, but the way that Snapchat returned this

```
-United States v. Lofaro-
                                                                 16
 1
    information, it is in reverse chronological order.
 2
              THE COURT: Okay.
 3
              MS. HALPER: Not terribly helpful, but if you start
 4
    at the very last page -- and this is the last exhibit in the
    packet, anyway. You can see that the chats start with this
 5
 6
    other user on November 7th of 2021. And the other user,
 7
    because this other user is a purported minor, the username is
    redacted from this document.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: I'm sorry, and in this one JeffG2007 is
    Mr. Lofaro?
10
11
              MS. HALPER: Correct.
12
              THE COURT: Okay.
13
              MS. HALPER: On now -- in reverse chronological
    order, the second page, well, it's three pages, so it's the
14
15
    second page no matter what. But you can see on November 11th
16
    and, again, Mr. Lofaro's messages are shaded in blue here. He
17
    says, "How old are you? I am 22." The other user responds,
    "15." He says, "Oh, you are young." You can see in the next
18
19
    column that there are attachments with these chats. The one
20
    that is highlighted and in red has been reviewed. And sorry,
21
    actually, it should be the next page. But I'll go on to
22
    tell -- so as you can see through reading these chats, Your
23
    Honor, they engage in -- or Mr. Lofaro engages in a sexual
24
    discussion. He talks about sexual acts that it appears he's
25
    fantasizing about doing to this purported minor.
```

```
-United States v. Lofaro-
                                                                 17
 1
              And then on the first page of this exhibit that has
 2
    the exhibit marker on it, if you look at 1534 and 33 UTC time
 3
    on November --
 4
              THE COURT: 11.
 5
              MS. HALPER: Yes, thank you. November 11th, after
    he talks about these sexual acts, he says, "Can you send a pic
 6
 7
    of your pussy? I want to see what I just came in." The
    purported minor sends back this attachment. That attachment
 8
    has been reviewed. It depicts a close-up view of a vagina.
10
    You can see in those chats that this purported minor indicates
11
    that they live in a foreign country. The government is
12
    seeking to interview that individual.
13
              Finally, Your Honor, I'll try to just wrap up very
14
    quickly here but --
15
              THE COURT: Can you just tell me --
16
              MS. HALPER: Yes?
17
              THE COURT: How have you -- I don't need all the
18
    details, but how did they confirm that the video is a minor?
19
              MS. HALPER: So it's not a video. It's an image.
20
              THE COURT: An image, sorry.
21
              MS. HALPER: And it depicts a close-up of a vagina,
22
    and that's why, at this point, the government is seeking to
23
    have that interview to corroborate that this is who we really
24
    think it is and that it shows what we think it shows. But
25
    that's why that charge hasn't been added yet.
```

-United States v. Lofaro-18 1 THE COURT: Okay. 2 MS. HALPER: So there's additional investigation 3 that's being done there. 4 THE COURT: And is it also the fact that the 5 responder says 15 is the age? 6 MS. HALPER: Correct. The user says that they are 7 15, yes. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 MS. HALPER: And Your Honor can see the Count 3 of 10 the indictment, which charges possession of child pornography, 11 includes -- specifically list out two other electronic 12 devices. It's a MacBook Pro and a MacBook Air laptop. Both 13 of those laptops were, of course, forensically examined, found 14 to contain child pornography. The MacBook Pro contained child 15 pornography videos, specifically. Over 100. The MacBook Air 16 contained a password-protected encrypted archive file. And 17 once forensic examiners were able to figure out the password 18 to decrypt it, to open it, inside they found a MEGA Sync 19 download folder. MEGA Sync is associated with the MEGA 20 application, which is a cloud-based platform that allows for file sharing, essentially, between members and also storage 21 22 function. 23 Once they were able to open that folder, they found 24 approximately 400 images and more than 70 videos depicting 25 child sexual abuse material, including child sexual abuse of

-United States v. Lofaro-

an infant. So, Your Honor, I do have -- those are the facts that I think are important for the Court to know.

Again, just moving towards argument, I would say,
Your Honor, that this is a presumption case under Title 18,
Section 3142(e)(3)(E) because these offenses involve a minor.

Beyond that, Your Honor, obviously, there were a tremendous number of electronic devices taken from the home in this case. There is evidence that not only is Mr. Lofaro a collector of electronic devices, uses many of them, but he also took measures to hide and avoid detection for his criminal conduct.

The archive file that contained child sexual abuse material was password protected and encrypted. There were multiple chats with other Kik users where he talked about purging to keep things clean.

And on that note, I would also tell Your Honor that when he spoke with pretrial, that there was a comment made about -- grab the report here -- that there was a comment -- and this is page 3 of 6, the last large paragraph there under "employment history" -- that he mentioned that he had multiple computer Internet-capable devices at his residence, that after his initial appearance, it was suggested that they be surrendered to his attorney. However, he indicated that there were too many to surrender.

He is, obviously, someone who uses a tremendous

number of these and that is -- presents a risk here and a concern to the government about how he's using these devices.

Furthermore, Your Honor, these were not — this was not a single, discrete instance of receipt or distribution.

This was multiple instances of receipt and distribution over the course of at least August of 2021 to February of 2022.

During this time period, there was at least one instance in which the government suspects, or is at least investigating, I should say, the possibility that he induced or used a minor to create child abuse material or at least attempted to use a minor to create child abuse material.

THE COURT: And that's Exhibit 4?

MS. HALPER: Correct.

Furthermore, Your Honor, of concern to the government, are the conversations that Mr. Lofaro had with others wherein he discussed his own fantasies about sexually abusing a child, where he discussed other users and their sexual abuse of a child. Not in what is, you know, an effort to gain information, but almost in sort of like a whetting appetite or a goading fashion. That there is — that that creates some real risks there and some concern. And the fact that there were multiple devices that were positive for child abuse material when they were examined.

Based on those things, Your Honor, the government has concern about risks to the community and, therefore, the

	United States v. Lofaro———————————————————————————————————
1	government is seeking detention pending resolution of this
2	case.
3	
	THE COURT: Okay. And, Ms. Halper, I think you just
4	said it, but just to confirm, you're moving for detention
5	based on danger to the community, not risk of flight?
6	MS. HALPER: Predominantly on risk to the community,
7	Your Honor. Risk of flight, I would suggest, you know, is
8	probably always a possibility when you're when an
9	individual is facing charges of this nature and particularly
LO	charges that carry a mandatory minimum.
L1	In this instance, pretrial specifically noted that
L2	he did have frequent travel. He has contacts abroad,
L3	obviously. Though, I believe he turned in his passport to
L 4	defense counsel, so that ameliorates that concern to some
L5	degree. But in candor, as the Court noted, the real thrust of
L 6	the argument and the concern here is about danger to the
L7	community.
L8	THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask you a couple more
L 9	questions.
20	So Mr. Salvato said, I think, there were two
21	searches, and I think you maybe acknowledge that, as well, of
22	his house; is that correct?
23	MS. HALPER: No. So, yes, there were two searches.
24	The first search was of his house. At the time that his home
25	was searched, he was employed with the Naval Research

	United States V. Loraro
1	Laboratory. He was stationed in Japan for that job. He was
2	home on some leave at that time, and that's when the search
3	warrant was executed. Because he was home on leave and he had
4	maintained a residence in Japan when that search happened and
5	his employment status, I believe, changed at that point, His
6	belongings in Japan were packaged up and sent back to the
7	Eastern District of Virginia where he was living. The
8	government and law enforcement had reason to believe that he
9	had electronic devices among the stuff that was packaged and
LO	sent back from Japan. So when the moving truck with those
L1	things on it came back, then a search warrant was executed on
L2	the moving truck for electronic devices.
L3	THE COURT: And was child pornography found on those
L 4	devices?
L5	MS. HALPER: I don't believe so, Your Honor.
L 6	THE COURT: Okay. And I think you said there were
L7	300 electronic devices that were seized in the May 2022 search
L8	of his residence?
L 9	MS. HALPER: Correct, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: We talked about the phone, and I think
21	one MacBook. Are there other devices from that 300 that had
22	material on them?
23	MS. HALPER: There were two MacBooks and the iPhone
24	that had child pornography material on them. There were some
25	very old CDs, optical disks, that were removed, I believe

-United States v. Lofaro-23 1 from, like a detached garage or a storage shed that had 2 material that was ultimately considered more what we call 3 category 2, or child erotica type material, that was sort of concerning but not -- not clearly child pornography. So there 4 were a couple of electronic devices that fell into that 5 category, but in short, the three devices that I've discussed 6 7 today are the three devices that contained child pornography. THE COURT: Okay. So when you say 300 electronic 8 9 devices, includes things like CDs? 10 MS. HALPER: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. All right. I may have 12 more questions as we go along, but that's it for now. Thank 13 you. 14 MS. HALPER: Thank you. 15 MR. SALVATO: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, in terms of just a couple of things that the government said. 16 17 Obviously, I don't have an agent here to cross-examine on some 18 of the representations that the government made in terms of 19 its proffer. Obviously, we have not received any discovery in 20 the case, so there is a tough situation to refute some of the 21 allegations that the government has put forth in these 22 exhibits. These exhibits were given to us today, Your Honor, 23 probably about ten minutes before the hearing started. So we 24 haven't had an opportunity to review them independently or 25 with Mr. Lofaro.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

-United States v. Lofaro-

24 I will start with this mention, kind of, where the government left off on this mention of this Snapchat with this purported minor. Your Honor, I can tell the Court that that argument or those factual allegations were put forth before Judge Fitzpatrick, as well, last week, and he still decided that Mr. Lofaro should be released. And Mr. Lofaro has actually returned. We don't know at this point, Your Honor, that person actually exists, whether that person is a male, whether that person is an overage female, whether that person is an underage minor. There's no way to tell who that user was. What was represented to Judge Fitzpatrick, and what seems to be represented to this Court, is that there are -there is an unknown person overseas who apparently sent a picture of female genitalia. Obviously, Mr. Lofaro hasn't been charged with that offense. We don't know, again, if

Also, in that chat, it looks like -- and again, it's hard to read, but at some point, Mr. Lofaro -- or somewhere in that chat back and forth, there's an indication of, you look 23, or something along those lines. So again, Your Honor, that charge has not been brought. He hasn't been indicted with that. We don't know the basis of that charge. That's some unknown person in a foreign country. So I would take that for what it's worth, and I think Judge Fitzpatrick saw that for what it's worth.

that's an adult, a male, or a minor female. We have no idea.

-United States v. Lofaro-

Your Honor, the second thing I would say in terms of the government's proffer, is that we haven't reviewed any of these images. We haven't participated in any discovery. We don't know what the forensics look like in terms of evaluation, whether the government can tie Mr. Lofaro himself to those chats or how that exactly happens. So we're at a little bit of a disadvantage because we haven't, obviously, undergone the investigation and the discovery in this case.

It looks like, Your Honor, from reading between the lines of what the government said, is that any allegation, kind of, ends in November or February of 2022. So you got quite some period. Apparently, he was overseas. There were some -- a secondary search done. There was no child pornography involved in that secondary search. So it seems like, even giving the government the absolute benefit of the doubt -- which I don't think we can at this point because he's presumed innocent. We haven't seen the discovery -- that any alleged participation ended, in terms of the search, in 2022 after he retained counsel.

It also appears as if from the government's proffer, that Mr. Lofaro never went out to meet a minor, tried to solicit a minor. There's no actual contact with a minor in any way, shape, or form. These looks like, at worst, Kik chats back and forth with other people that are in groups.

And I don't know if those groups generated the material in

-United States v. Lofaro-

question, whether Mr. Lofaro did. Again, that's an open question, because we haven't reviewed anything. But it seems like there's been no contact between Mr. Lofaro and any minor whatsoever in this case, which I think is important in terms of the government's argument about danger to the community.

Your Honor, in terms of the pretrial report, which I'm sure the Court has reviewed, Mr. Lofaro stands before the Court 39 years old. He has no record whatsoever and a solid family and employment history. As the government indicated, he was employed with the Naval Research department or laboratory for a good period of time, had no issues there. Mr. Sprano and I have been involved with that organization in terms of his employment, benefits and, sort of, as -- as he was, kind of, left that employment.

He has been employed full-time as a programmer for Hanson Robotics since March of this year, 2023, which has a local office in Sterling, Virginia. His wife -- they've been married for ten years now. His wife, Ms. Yang, also known as Nat, is here. They don't have any children from the union so, kind of, these talks, these fantasy talks about, oh, I have a child or something like that, that seems to be just fantasy talk at worst, or puffery, or whatever we want to call it. But they have no children. They've been married for the past ten years. They reside in Ballston, Virginia. And as I indicated to the Court, his wife is here.

-United States v. Lofaro-

His wife is employed. She's a permanent resident. Pretrial services did the research on her. She's been in the Northern Virginia area since 2015. And she's indicated that Mr. Lofaro is permitted, even after hearing everything that the government said today and the other day in front of Judge Fitzpatrick, that she is willing to serve as his third-party custodian. She advised that she would permit the institute — the installation of location monitoring, which Mr. Lofaro has had since he was released by Judge Fitzpatrick, and I think she's an appropriate third-party custodian.

His mom is also here. She came down from

Pennsylvania. She's also been interviewed as an appropriate

third-party custodian. They live in Ardmore, Pennsylvania.

So the Court, kind of, has two options in terms of the

third-party custodian. We would ask that he be allowed to

return to his house, be able to work remotely. He, of course,

agrees to the condition noted by pretrial of computer

monitoring of any system that he is using.

Your Honor, the couple last things that the government mentioned, I think on page 3 of 6, they said that the defendant indicated there were too many to surrender and instead opted to stay with his mother in Pennsylvania during his temporary release. We were in a very tight situation because he was trying to get to Pennsylvania for his dad's funeral. It's 3:30 in the afternoon. It was a situation

where he wasn't going to be able to get everything out. We

2 came to an agreement with pretrial and the Marshals that he

3 | would simply just go to Pennsylvania, and we would deal with

4 | that issue later.

employment.

Mr. Lofaro, I can tell the Court, certainly consents to any computer monitoring and the removal of any items, working that out with pretrial, that are not necessary for his

Your Honor, pretrial has considered the danger situation. They have considered the flight risk situation, and they've submitted conditions to the Court which we believe are completely appropriate in this case. This is clearly a release case in our opinion, and especially, Your Honor, this case has been pending for 15, 18 months.

Mr. Lofaro reached out to us immediately. If he was going to go anywhere, he would certainly have gone, but he's here with retained counsel. He's had retained counsel, and I can tell the Court he has been in touch with us constantly over the past 15 months. If there was any desire for him to leave, contact a minor, do anything that was dangerous, or that would show a flight risk, that would have been done over the past 15 months. He has counsel. He has had counsel. The government was aware that he had counsel.

We haven't seen any of these exhibits or any of these proffers until actually today. Mr. Lofaro is ready to

```
-United States v. Lofaro-
                                                                 29
    defend these charges, and we're ready to represent him.
 1
 2
    clearly a release case, and we'd ask the Court to release him.
 3
              THE COURT: Mr. Salvato, just a couple of questions.
 4
    Did Mr. Lofaro have a security clearance with the naval job?
              MR. SALVATO: He did.
 5
              THE COURT: He did. Do you know what level?
 6
 7
              MR. SALVATO: It's S.
 8
              THE COURT: S, secret? Okay. And I, too, took note
9
    of the statement on page 3 of 6 of the pretrial services
10
    report that there are too many devices in the home to
11
    surrender. I understand your point about the tight timeline
12
    on Friday. How many devices are we talking about -- a
13
    two-part question. How many devices are we talking about, and
14
    how many are actually necessary for employment?
15
              MR. SALVATO: We've talked about that before court,
16
    Your Honor. I would say he has a number of devices. I can
17
    ask Mr. Lofaro the exact number, but what we would propose --
18
    and we worked with pretrial in these types of issues before,
19
    is he will have one computer which operates on a Window [sic]
20
    10 or Windows 11, or whatever the -- whatever pretrial can
21
    monitor. That has been, in my experience, completely
22
    consistent with their monitoring devices or software.
23
    Everything else will be removed, other than that one computer
24
    that can be monitored. So we can remove any and all devices
25
    to the satisfaction of pretrial. Other than that one which,
```

-United States v. Lofaro-30 1 it's now Linux, he will convert it to Windows 10 or 11 or have 2 someone convert it to Windows 10 or 11, and then that computer 3 can be monitored safely by pretrial. But we will remove 4 anything and everything else that's not connected to that one computer so that he's able to work remotely. 5 THE COURT: So he wouldn't need a phone? 6 7 MR. SALVATO: Not a phone that's connected to the 8 Internet. What I've done before, I had a case that would 9 actually -- involved some contact with minors in front of 10 Judge Nachmanoff when he was a magistrate judge, and Judge 11 Nachmanoff allowed a flip phone with no Internet capability. 12 That would be fine with us. Obviously, he needs to get in 13 touch with us. It would be helpful if he was locally 14 monitored and supervised versus in Pennsylvania, which are, 15 kind of, the two options for the Court. But if he's local, it 16 makes it easy for him to use his flip phone to contact us and 17 vice versa as we go through this. 18 THE COURT: Sorry to interrupt you. Would you take 19 possession of the devices? 20 MR. SPRANO: Your Honor, I was at the house on 21 Friday. It's -- so when you walk in, it's almost like a --22 kind of, a lab, if you will. A lot of the devices appear to 23 be robotics components, so they're not things that would 24 independently be accessing the Internet. So it was too much 25 for me, because he, kind of, works out of his home, so I

-United States v. Lofaro-31 1 couldn't have fit it in my vehicle at that time or wouldn't 2 have known what to do with it. But we talked about if 3 pretrial doesn't -- if they are not comfortable with what he 4 has there, they can rent a storage space and only either we would have access to it or his wife would have access to it. 5 But that's the gist of it is, it's not like he has a bunch of 6 7 different, you know, I quess a server farm or anything like that. A lot of it is just, kind of, bits and pieces of his 8 9 robotics. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. I don't want to put the 11 burden on pretrial to figure out how to -- what to take and 12 how to keep it. To the extent I release him, and this is one 13 of my concerns, and so, I want to have that -- you-all figure 14 that out and maintain custody of it. 15 And then, to the extent -- I know his wife said, I 16 think in a pretrial services report I saw, that she removed a 17 laptop from the home, so the only device in the home would 18 be -- your proposal is the computer he needs for work? 19 MR. SALVATO: Yes, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 MR. SALVATO: We have his passport. 22 THE WITNESS: Yeah, passport. 23 MR. SALVATO: (Not at the mic and indiscernible.) 24 THE COURT: Okay. And then working remotely sometimes you're -- is the computer provided by the company? 25

```
-United States v. Lofaro-
                                                                 32
 1
    Is there any use of company devices?
 2
              THE DEFENDANT: No. (Not at the mic and
 3
    indiscernible.) That's my personal computer. My company
 4
    computer is at work (Not at the mic and indiscernible.) Based
    in Hong Kong which is and one with (Not at the mic and
 5
 6
    indiscernible.) I work remotely for both of them. And that
 7
    computer's at work, so I was using the personal one.
 8
              THE COURT: Your personal one. Okay. And do you go
9
    to the office in Sterling where there is?
10
              THE DEFENDANT: I don't need to. That's a part-time
11
    one, about 30 hours a week. (Not at the mic and
12
    indiscernible.) And I normally work remotely.
13
              THE COURT: Okay. And that's the part-time one?
14
    And the one that's based in Hong Kong, is there a local office
15
    that you go to as well?
16
              THE DEFENDANT: (Not at the mic and indiscernible.)
17
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
18
              MS. HALPER: Your Honor, I don't mean to interrupt.
19
              THE COURT: Yes.
20
              MS. HALPER: Two points of clarification. One,
    Mr. Salvato did remind me to correct me. I mentioned earlier
21
22
    that the government had emailed on Thursday of last week to
23
    get in touch but didn't hear back until Friday. Mr. Salvato
24
    did email me back that night and said that he would call me
25
    the next day. So to correct the record there.
```

-United States v. Lofaro-

The other thing is in his -- in his statement to the Court, Mr. Salvato mentioned that he didn't have a witness here. I just wanted to be clear that Special Agent Coonheim (ph) from NCIS is present in court. So the proffer that I gave to Your Honor is what I expect she would have testified to had she testified. If the Court or counsel has questions based on that, she is present today. I just don't want it to appear that I've hidden a witness in plain sight.

THE COURT: Thank you for the clarification and for having her present.

MS. HALPER: Thank you. Just very briefly, Your Honor. Beyond that, obviously, the computer monitoring software is something that the Court uses and pretrial uses to ensure compliance with the conditions of release, and I think that software is likely very good. The concern, though, is about electronic devices that are held surreptitiously that wouldn't, obviously, because of their nature being surreptitious, would not be subject to the monitoring.

This is an individual who has collected a substantial number of electronic devices. He works, as he has said, in IT, in robotics. He has a sophisticated knowledge and understanding of electronics. And that's the government's concern that there could be electronics that the government doesn't know about. That's not a baseless concern, I would argue to Your Honor. There is evidence in this case of

attempts to hide and conceal.

I would also note to Your Honor that while all of this was happening, he was married to and living with his spouse. The same spouse that they have offered and suggested would be a suitable third-party custodian. There's nothing about her that gives the government pause, necessarily, about her fitness to be a third-party custodian, but the fact that she was married to him, living with him, all while he was in -- doing these things, does give the government concern, Your Honor.

And so, I just would like the Court to know that.

THE COURT: Thank you. I appreciate those concerns. So what I'm charged with doing, as everybody I think knows, is determining whether there is a set of conditions that would reasonably assure the safety of the community. Ms. Halper, I take your point that we don't have the perfect -- we don't necessarily have a perfect system, but reasonably, I have to be reasonably assured that the safety of the community will be -- and any other person, will be protected if I release somebody pretrial. You know, on the facts here, I think this is a release case, but with conditions that are fairly stringent. And I'm going to add -- I'm going to tweak a couple of the conditions that pretrial has suggested.

Let me preface what I'm about to say with this, which is that my decision to release Mr. Lofaro in no way

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

-United States v. Lofaro-

35

condones any of the conduct alleged, including what is in these exhibits, and I'm sure you have many more of them. are concerning, the conduct here is -- is -- these cases are always concerning. I do find it persuasive, however, that we don't actually know that the one communication -- at least that I've been presented, and apparently Judge Fitzpatrick was presented, about a potential communication with a minor is, in fact, a minor, and that communication was quite a while ago. The government executed these search warrants in 2022 and has known about this conduct for some time, so they allowed Mr. Lofaro to remain, you know, unindicted and out when obviously, I think there was probably evidence to, at least arrest him quite a while ago. So the passage of time and the fact that the -- the one instance was either communicating or attempting to communicate with a potential minor is a -- quite a while ago, I think more than two years ago and not -- not confirmed to be a minor are persuasive for me in this instance. So, I am going to release Mr. Lofaro on the following conditions -- and Ms. Halper as I go along, if there are other conditions you'd like me to consider, please let me 22 know. But... 23 So Mr. Lofaro is going to report to pretrial services and be supervised by them. He's going to be released to the custody of his wife and not move from their residence

-United States v. Lofaro-

without prior approval of pretrial services or the Court. He must refrain from any contact with coconspirators, to the extent there are any in this case, potential witnesses, or victims related to the instant offense — instant offense, unless in the presence of counsel. He must submit to substance abuse testing and treatment, as directed by pretrial services.

I understand he's already surrendered his passport to defense counsel, but I'm directing that he also not obtain a new passport or any other international travel documents. His travel will be restricted to the Eastern District of Virginia and the Greater Washington, D.C. metropolitan area. Any and all other travel must be pre-approved in advance by pretrial services or the Court. Mr. Lofaro must refrain from having any contact with minors under the age of 18 unless another adult is present who has been pre-approved in advance by pretrial services.

All right. I want to talk about the devices. We talked about this a little bit. So I am concerned about the access to so many devices, and I understand the government is as well. I think the solution that Mr. Salvato has proposed makes sense. So, Mr. Lofaro, you need to refrain from possessing or having access to a computer or the Internet unless the computer monitoring program has been installed by pretrial services. You must consent to the installation of

computer monitoring software and any computer to which you have access.

Installation must be performed by pretrial services, and the software may restrict and/or record any and all activity on the computer, including the capture of keystrokes, application information, Internet use history, email correspondence, and chat conversations. You may not remove, tamper with, reverse engineer, or in anyway circumvent the software. And the cost of the monitoring will be paid by you. You must also refrain from possessing or utilizing any video gaming system and consoles, phones with Internet capabilities, or any such devices, which would enable contact and/or sharing data with -- of data with other individuals known or unknown to you.

I'm also going to require, consistent with the discussion on the record, that all devices be removed and put into the custody of defense counsel, to which Mr. Lofaro has no access. So whatever he needs, it sounds like one computer for work and a flip phone that doesn't have Internet access, and his wife's computer, I understand, has already been removed. If, for some reason, she has a device in the home, it needs to be password protected so that Mr. Lofaro does not have access.

I am also going to require that Mr. Lofaro inform his employer that he's not allowed to have access to

-United States v. Lofaro-38 1 Internet-capable devices, but if he does go to a local office, 2 that they don't inadvertently provide him access to an 3 Internet-capable computer. Submit to and pay for sex offender evaluation and/or 4 5 treatment conducted by a certified sex offender treatment provider as directed by the supervising officer and sign all 6 7 appropriate release forms. Refrain from possessing or having any access to firearms, destructive devices, or other 8 9 dangerous weapons as directed by pretrial services. And I 10 understand that the firearms are currently and will remain in 11 the possession of defense counsel. 12 Defendant shall submit to home detention on the 13 location monitoring technology, which has already been 14 installed. 15 So what I'm going to do is have pretrial services install whatever they need to at Mr. Lofaro's home. I don't 16 know if there's any -- anything else that needs to be 17 18 installed for home detention. And Mr. Lofaro, you have to 19 abide by all of the GPS and home detention requirements. 20 You'll be restricted to your residence at all times except for 21 employment, education, religious services, treatment, attorney 22

23 other activities that must be pre-approved by your supervising 24 officer.

25

visits, court appearances, court-ordered obligations, or any

Ms. Halper, any additional conditions you'd like the

-United States v. Lofaro-39 1 Court to consider adding? 2 MS. HALPER: No, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Salvato, any questions or 4 clarifications that you need from the Court? 5 MR. SALVATO: No, Your Honor. I appreciate the 6 Court's consideration. I believe the arraignment is set for 7 next Wednesday in front of Judge Alston. I believe that's a 8 good date for everyone. I need to check with Mr. Sprano 9 before I talk too quickly, but Mr. Lofaro's next court date 10 for inclusion in the order of -- will be next Wednesday. 11 THE COURT: Yes. I have it as the 18th at 11:00. 12 Okay. And we will put that. 13 So, Mr. Lofaro, you must appear at all court 14 appearances, and your next current scheduled appointment, a 15 court appearance, is October 18th at 11:00 a.m. I'm going to direct that you go down immediately to pretrial services and 16 17 wait for the order to be prepared, and then review it and sign 18 it, and then go to pretrial services and get everything worked 19 out. 20 I would like, Mr. Sprano, for you to take possession 21 of the devices as quickly as possible. And to let pretrial 22 services know that you have everything, other than the one 23 computer that we've agreed on and whatever the flip phone 24 situation is going to be. Okay? 25 MR. SPRANO: Yes, Your Honor. Can I just have just

```
-United States v. Lofaro-
                                                                  40
 1
    a clarification question? Because of the definition of what
 2
    kind of device could be very broad in terms of anything that
    you plug in or has a battery. What I understand is it would
 3
 4
    be anything that has data storage or Internet capability.
 5
              THE COURT: Yes.
              MR. SPRANO: Just like a robotic arm that's not
 6
 7
    connected -- I mean -- there's just a lot of stuff that's all.
 8
              THE COURT: So my concern would be anything that
9
    could be -- that is or could be connected to the Internet
10
    with -- I mean I understand Mr. Lofaro has some IT
11
    capabilities. So anything that has the possibility of even
12
    being altered to be connected to the Internet, I want it out
13
    and with you.
14
              MR. SALVATO: Understood, Your Honor.
15
              THE COURT: But if it's something like a robotic arm
    that doesn't have the ability to store things or Internet,
16
17
    then, from my perspective, you don't need to take that out.
18
              Ms. Halper, do you have a -- any concern about
19
    things that don't have an Internet capability?
20
              MS. HALPER: I think Mr. Sprano mentioned also
21
    anything that has -- capable of data and storage.
22
              THE COURT: Yes.
23
              MS. HALPER: Your Honor, I just say -- I appreciate
24
    the Court taking the time to consider this case and to fashion
25
    these conditions, these strict conditions. I will tell the
```

-United States v. Lofaro-41 1 Court that in considering the possible outcomes today for this 2 case, I did talk with a supervisor about, if this was one of the outcomes, whether or not the government would seek to stay 3 4 the order and appeal, and I have been given approval to do that, so I just want to respectfully do that now. 5 appreciate that the Court took a lot of time to set out those 6 7 conditions, but the government, at this point, is going to 8 appeal. I will let chambers, Judge Alston's chambers know 9 that if the Court is willing to stay that -- stay this order 10 and see if that appeal can be taken up as quickly as possible, 11 but as late as Wednesday, next week since we already have that 12 court date. 13 THE COURT: Okay. The problem is he's already out. So, I mean -- it's a wrinkle. So I -- I'm willing to stay my 14 15 order until Friday at noon, but I don't -- he's already on 16 release, so. 17 MS. HALPER: And it's --18 THE COURT: I don't know that you wanted me to 19 stay putting all these -- all these protections in place. 20 MS. HALPER: I don't want to stay putting any 21 protections in place, Your Honor, if it's the Court's 22 interpretation that he would remain on release. My 23 understanding in all -- I guess I would have to look more 24 closely at it -- but the temporary release order entered by 25 Judge Fitzpatrick last week was temporary release until now.

-United States v. Lofaro-42 1 So I guess it is my interpretation that that temporary release 2 is expired, I guess at this point, as of 2:00 p.m. So I think that, if I'm asking you to stay the order and you agree to 3 4 stay the order, Your Honor, that he would then need to be remanded until the detention hearing was heard by Judge 5 Alston. But I agree it's an awkward posture. 6 7 THE COURT: Yeah. Okay. 8 MR. SALVATO: I don't think there's any basis to 9 stay the order, Your Honor. I think the Court's, Judge 10 Fitzpatrick's, reasoning was sound. The Court's reasoning was 11 sound. If the government wants to deal with that next 12 Wednesday, they can file an appropriate motion, but there's no 13 reason to stay the Court's release order. There's not a 14 probability of success given no record, and he's been out for 15 15, 18 months. 16 THE COURT: So, Ms. Halper, I think, just based on 17 where we are procedurally, if you want to appeal, obviously, 18 you're free to do that, but I'm not going to stay my order 19 because I think it actually adds more protection than what he 20 would be currently out on. So I'm going to go forward with my 21 order. And if you want to appeal it and have -- ask Judge 22 Alston to stay it and remand and you can do that. 23 MS. HALPER: Understood. Okay. Thank you, Your 24 Honor. 25 THE COURT: Yep. Thank you. Okay. Is there

```
-United States v. Lofaro—
                                                                   43
 1
    anything else?
 2
              MS. HALPER: Your Honor, only -- in your order, did
 3
    Your Honor include the no-contact provision with witnesses,
    potential witnesses.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: I did. If I didn't say it out loud, I
 6
    meant to, but I think I read it, yeah. No coconspirators and
 7
    witnesses, and victims.
 8
              MS. HALPER: Yes. Thank you.
 9
               THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?
10
              MR. SALVATO: No, Judge.
               THE COURT: Okay. Well, we will -- you-all go down
11
12
    to pretrial services, and we will work on the order here
    promptly. Thank you. We're adjourned.
13
14
              MR. SALVATO: Thank you, Your Honor.
15
                (Proceedings adjourned at 3:11 p.m.)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
                                -Tonia M. Harris OCR-USDC/EDVA 703-646-1438-
```

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 I, Tonia Harris, an Official Court Reporter for 3 4 the Eastern District of Virginia, do hereby certify that I 5 reported from the Court's FTR recording, in my official capacity, the proceedings had and testimony adduced upon 6 7 the Detention hearing in the case of the UNITED STATES OF 8 AMERICA versus DANIEL MARC LOFARO Criminal Action No.: 1:23-cr-156, in said court on the 11th day of October, 9 10 2023. I further certify that the foregoing 44 pages 11 12 constitute the official transcript of said proceedings. 13 In witness whereof, I have hereto subscribed my 14 name, this October 19, 2023. 15 16 17 18 19 2.0 Clonia M. Aberris 21 22 Tonia M. Harris, RPR 23 Official Court Reporter 24 25